I. Permittee Information				
Permittee Name City of Edmonds			verage Number 804-5513	
Contact Name Jerry Shuster, P.E.		<b>Phone Numbe</b> 425-771-0	er 220 ext. 1323	
Mailing Address 120 5th Ave N.				
City Edmonds		State WA	<b>Zip + 4</b> 98020-3145	
Email Adddress shuster@ci.edmonds.wa.us			_	
II. Regulated Small MS4 Location				
	_		Check the box tha	
Jurisdiction City of Edmonds		County	City/Town X	Other
City of Editionas				
Major Receiving Water(s) Puget Sound, Lake Ballinger				
III. Relying on another Government	tal E	Entity		
If you are relying on another governmenta permit obligations, list the entity and brief		•	<u>-</u>	
are implementing on your behalf below. A	•	-		
other entity to provide additional detail.		4.0	•	
Name of Entity:		Permit Obliga	tion(s):	

## **IV. Certification**

All annual reports must be signed and certified by the responsible official(s) of permittee or copermittees. Please print and sign this page of the reporting form and mail it (with an original signature) to Ecology at the address noted below. An electronic signature will not suffice.

I certify under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that Qualified Personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for willful violations.							
Name	Title	Date					
Name Mike Cooper	Title	Date					
Name	Title	Date					
Name	Title	Date					
Name	Title	Date					

2010

Jurisdiction Name: City of Edmonds

PLEASE indicate reporting year and your jurisdiction in Line 1, above.

PLEASE refer to the INSTRUCTIONS tab for assistance filling out this table.

NOTE: Items that have future compliance dates must still be answered to indicate status.

NOTE: For clarification on how to answer Questions, place cursor over cells with red flags.

NOTE: Highlighted items indicate requirements that are due in 2010.

PLEASE review your work for completeness and accuracy. Save this worksheet as you go!

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
1.	Attached annual written update of Permittee's Stormwater Management Program (SWMP), including applicable requirements under S5.A.2 and S9?	Y			age n, n appnoance
2.	Attached a copy of any annexations, incorporations or boundary changes resulting in an increase or decrease in the Permittee's geographic area of permit coverage during the reporting period, and implications for the SWMP as per S9.E.3?	NA			
3.	Implemented an ongoing program for gathering, tracking, maintaining, and using information to evaluate SWMP development, implementation and permit compliance and to set priorities? (S5.A.3)	Y			
4.	Began tracking costs or estimated costs of the development and implementation of the SWMP? ( <i>Required</i> no later than January 1, 2009, S5.A.3.a)	Y			
5.	SWMP includes an education program aimed at residents, businesses, industries, elected officials, policy makers, planning staff and other employees of the Permittee? ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1)	Y			
6.	Distributed appropriate information to target audiences identified in the area served by the MS4? ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.a)	Υ			
7.	Tracked the types of public education and outreach activities implemented. ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.c)	Y			
7b.	Number of activities implemented:		27	See SWMP Section 2.1.2	
8.	Measured the understanding and adoption of the targeted behaviors among at least one targeted audience in at least one subject area. ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.b)	Y			
9.	Provided opportunities for the public to participate in the decision making processes involving the development, implementation and updates of the Permittee's SWMP? ( <i>Required</i> by February 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)	Y			
	Developed and implemented a process for public involvement and consideration of public comments on the SWMP? ( <i>Required</i> by February 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)	Υ			
	Made the most current version of the SWMP available to the public. (S5.C.2.b)	Y			
12.	Posted the SWMP and latest annual report on your website. (S5.C.2.b)	Y			
12b.	NOTE website address in Attachment field:				http://www.ci.edmonds.wa.us/str m_wtr_mgmt.stm
13.	Initiated or implemented an ongoing program to detect and remove illicit connections and illegal discharges into the Permittee's MS4? ( <i>Required</i> August 19, 2011, S5.C.3)	NA		Not yet due.	

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
14.	Developed and currently maintain a map of your MS4? ( <i>Required</i> by	NA		Not yet due.	i ago n, n appnouse
	February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a) Initiated a program to develop and maintain a map of all connections to the MS4 authorized or allowed by the Permittee after the Permit effective date? (S5.C.3.a.ii)	Y			
15.	Map shows the location of all known municipal separate storm sewer outfalls, receiving waters and structural stormwater BMPs owned, operated, or maintained by the Permittee? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)	NA		Not yet due.	
	Map shows all storm sewer outfalls with a 24 inch nominal diameter or larger, or an equivalent cross-sectional area for non-pipe systems and includes tributary conveyances, associated drainage areas and land use? (Required by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)	NA		Not yet due.	
17.	Map shows geographic areas served by the Permittee's MS4 that do not discharge stormwater to surface waters? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a.iii)	NA			
18.	Map has been made available upon request? (S5.C.3.a.iv)	Υ		Most of the MS4 has been mapped. If a request is made on those mapped portions, it is provided.	
19.	Developed and implemented regulatory actions necessary to effectively prohibit non-stormwater, illicit discharges into the Permittee's MS4? ( <i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.b)	Υ			
20.	Developed and implemented an ongoing program to detect and address non-stormwater illicit discharges, including spills, and illicit connections into the Permittee's MS4? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c)	NA		Not yet due.	
21.	Developed procedures for locating priority areas likely to have illicit discharges, including at a minimum: evaluating land uses and associated business/industrial activities present; areas where complaints have been registered in the past; and areas with storage of large quantities of materials that could result in illicit discharges, including spills? (Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.i)	NA		Not yet due.	
22.	Implemented field assessment activities, including visual inspection of priority outfalls identified during dry weather, and for the purposes of verifying outfall locations, identified previously unknown outfalls, and detected illicit discharges? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)	NA		Not yet due.	
23.	Prioritized receiving waters for visual inspection? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.3.c.ii)	Υ		Hall Creek/Lake Ballinger, Shell Creek, and Edmonds Marsh (Willow Creek & Shellabarger Creek watershed).	
24.	Conducted field assessments for three high priority water bodies? (Required by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)	Υ		Hall Creek/Lake Ballinger, Shell Creek, and Edmonds Marsh (Willow Creek & Shellabarger Creek watershed).	
25.	Conducted field assessments on at least one high priority water body? (Required annually after February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)	NA		Not yet due.	
	Developed and implemented procedures for characterizing the nature of, and potential public or environmental threat posed by, any illicit discharges found by or reported to the Permittee? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.iii)	NA		Not yet due.	
27.	Developed and implemented procedures for tracing the source of an illicit discharge; including visual inspections, and when necessary, opening manholes, using mobile cameras, collecting and analyzing water samples, and/or other detailed inspection procedures? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.iv)	NA		Not yet due.	

Que	estion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
28.	Developed and implemented procedures for removing the source of the discharge, including notification of appropriate authorities; notification of the property owner; technical assistance for eliminating the discharge; follow-up inspections; and escalating enforcement and legal actions if the discharge is not eliminated? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.v.)	NA		Not yet due.	,
29.	Informed public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d)	NA		Not yet due.	
30.	Distributed appropriate information to target audiences identified pursuant to S5.C.1? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d.i)	NA		Not yet due.	
31.	Publicized a hotline or other local telephone number for public reporting of spills and other illicit discharges? ( <i>Required</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.3.d.ii)	Υ			
	Number of hotline calls received:		7		
31c.			7		
32	Maintained a hotline or other reporting number for public reporting of illicit discharges, including spills? ( <i>Required</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.3.d.ii)	Υ			
32b	NOTE hotline number in <i>Comments</i> field	у		425-771-0235 or 911 after business hours	
33	Tracked the number of illicit discharges, including spills, identified? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)	Y			
	Number of illicit discharges identified:		7	Into the City's MS4 - From City's Cartegraph Database that tracks illicit discharges and inspections	
34	Tracked the number of inspections made for illicit connections? (Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)	Υ		From City's Cartegraph Database	
34b	Number of inspections:		7	From City's Cartegraph Database	
35	Received feedback from IDDE public education efforts? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)	NA		Not yet due.	
36	Attached report on IDDE public education efforts? ( <i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d, S5.C.3.e)	NA		Not yet due.	
37	Municipal field staff responsible for identification, investigation, termination, cleanup, and reporting of illicit discharges, improper disposal and illicit connections are trained to conduct these activities? ( <i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i)	Υ		IDD&E response level training completed in July 15, 2009.	
37b			1		
37c.		V/	14	NT	
38	Provided follow-up training as needed to address changes in procedures, techniques or requirements? ( <i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i)	Υ		No new staff or changes to procedures, techniques, or requirements that necessitated follow-up training.	
	Number of trainings provided:		0	No new staff in 2010	
38c.	Number of staff trained:		0	No new staff in 2010	
39	Developed and implemented an ongoing training program on the identification of an illicit discharge/connection, and on the proper procedures for reporting and responding to the illicit discharge/connection for all municipal field staff, which, as part of their normal job responsibilities, might come into contact with or otherwise observe an illicit discharge or illicit connection to the storm sewer system? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.3.f.ii.)	Υ		IDD&E awareness level training completed in July 15, 2009.	
	Number of trainings provided:		1		
39c.	Number of staff trained:		14		

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#		Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
40	Developed, implemented and enforced a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff to a regulated small MS4 from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)	N		See comment regarding G20 letter in Question 91. An ordinance went into effect 6/1/2010 that meets requirements described in this question. No new permit applications, however, were received from Feburary 16, 2010 through December 31, 2010 for sites that met or exceeded the "regulatory threshold" for Section S5.C.4.	Attachment 1, Page 16
	Applied stormwater runoff program to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
42	Applied stormwater runoff program to private and public development, including roads? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
43	Applied the Technical Thresholds in Appendix 1 to all sites 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
44	Adopted and implemented regulatory mechanism (such as an ordinance) necessary to address run-off from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
45	Retained existing local requirements to apply stormwater controls at smaller sites or at lower thresholds than required pursuant to S5.C.4? (S5.A.4)	Y		See Comment for Question 40.	
46	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes the minimum requirements, technical thresholds, and definitions in Appendix 1 (or an equivalent approved by Ecology under the NPDES Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit) for new development, redevelopment, and construction sites? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
47	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes exceptions and variance criteria equivalent to those in Appendix 1? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
48	Were exceptions or variances to the minimum requirements in Appendix 1 granted? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
49	If so, how many were granted?  The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes a site planning process and BMP selection and design criteria that, when used to implement the minimum requirements in Appendix 1 (or equivalent approved by Ecology under the Phase I Permit) will protect water quality, reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable and satisfy the State requirement under Chapter 90.48 RCW to apply all known, available and reasonable methods of prevention, control and treatment (AKART) prior to discharge? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.ii)	N	0	See Comment for Question 40.	
49b.	Cite documentation to meet this requirement in Attachment field:	У			Edmonds Community Development Code (ECDC) Chapter 18.30, found at: http://www.ci.edmonds.wa.us/str m_wtr_mgmt.stm
50	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism provides the legal authority, through the approval process for new development, to inspect private stormwater facilities that discharge to the Permittee's MS4? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.iii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
51	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism allows non-structural preventive actions and source reduction approaches such as Low Impact Development (LID) Techniques to minimize the creation of impervious surfaces and minimize the disturbance of native soils and vegetation? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.iv)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
52	If the ordinance or regulatory mechanism allows construction sites to apply the <b>Erosivity Waiver</b> in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2, does it include appropriate, escalating enforcement sanctions for construction sites that provide notice to the Permittee of their intention to apply the waiver but do not meet the requirements (including timeframe restrictions, limits on activities that result in non-stormwater discharges, and implementation of appropriate BMPs to prevent violations of water quality standards) to qualify for the waiver? (If waiver is allowed, the qualification is <i>required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.v)	NA		The City of Edmonds does not grant erosivity waivers.	
53	Developed and implemented a permitting process to address runoff from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities with plan review, inspection, and enforcement capability? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
54	Applied permitting process to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
55	Reviewed <b>Stormwater Site Plans</b> for new development and redevelopment projects? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.i)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
55b.	Number of site plans reviewed during the reporting period:			77 sites in CY 2010, all below the Phase II Permit's "regulatory threshold."	
56	Inspected, prior to clearing and construction, all known development sites that have a high potential for sediment transport as determined through plan review based on definitions and requirements in Appendix 7 <b>Determining Construction Site Sediment Potential?</b> ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.ii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
56b.	Number of qualifying sites inspected prior to clearing and construction during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 56.	
57	Inspected construction-phase stormwater controls at all known permitted development sites during construction to verify proper installation and maintenance of required erosion and sediment controls? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
57b.	Number of sites inspected during the construction phase for the reporting period:		0	77 sites in CY 2010, all below the Phase II Permit's "regulatory threshold."	
58	Enforced as necessary based on the inspection at new development and redevelopment projects? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
58b.	Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:	N!	0	Confirmation Operation 40	
59	Inspected qualifying permitted development sites upon completion of construction and prior to final approval or occupancy to ensure proper installation of permanent stormwater controls such as stormwater facilities and structural BMPs? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iv and v)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	Number of qualifying sites known during the reporting period:  Number of qualifying sites inspected during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 59. See Comment for Question 59.	
59c.	rumber of quantying sites inspected during the reporting period:			See Comment for Question 39.	

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
60	Verified a maintenance plan is completed and responsibility for maintenance is assigned for qualifying projects? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iv)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	- 3,
61	Enforced regulations as necessary based on the inspection? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iv)	NA		See Comment for Question 40.	
61b.	Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 61.	
62	Developed and implemented an enforcement strategy to respond to issues of non-compliance with the regulations for qualifying projects? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.vi)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	Did the Permittee choose to allow construction sites to apply the <b>Erosivity Waiver</b> in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2? (S5.C.4.b.vii)	NA		The City of Edmonds does not grant erosivity waivers.	
	If yes, how many waivers were allowed?		0		
	Developed and implemented a long-term operation and maintenance (O&M) program for post-construction stormwater facilities and BMPs? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	Adopted an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism that clearly identifies the party responsible for maintenance, requires inspection of facilities and establishes enforcement procedures? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.i)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	Inspected post-construction stormwater controls, including structural BMPs, at new development and redevelopment projects? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
66b.	Number of sites inspected during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 66.	
66c.	Number of structural BMPs inspected during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 66.	
66d.	Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 66.	
67	Established maintenance standards that are as protective, or more protective, of facility function as those specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the <b>2005 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington</b> ? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.ii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	Performed timely maintenance as per S5.C.4.c.ii? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.ii)	NA		See Comment for Question 40.	
	<b>Attached</b> documentation of any maintenance delays. ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.ii)	NA		See Comment for Question 68.	
69	Established program to annually inspect all stormwater treatment and flow control facilities (other than catch basins) permitted by the Permittee according to S5.C.4.b. unless there are maintenance records to justify a different frequency? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	If using reduced inspection frequency, <b>Attached</b> documentation as per S5.C.4.c.iii? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii)	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
	Inspected all new stormwater treatment and flow control facilities owned or operated, including catch basins, for new residential developments that are a part of a larger common plan of development or sale, every 6 months during the period of heaviest house construction (i.e., 1 to 2 years following subdivision approval) to identify maintenance needs and enforce compliance with maintenance standards as needed? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iv)	N	0	See Comment for Question 40.	
/1b.	Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:		0	See Comment for Question 71.	

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
72	Implemented a procedure for keeping records of inspections and	N		See Comment for Question 40.	3 /
	enforcement actions by staff, including inspection reports, warning				
	letters, notices of violations, other enforcement records, maintenance				
	inspections and maintenance activities? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16,				
72	2010, S5.C.4.d)	Υ			
73	Provided copies of the Notice of Intent for Construction Activity and	Y			
	Notice of Intent for Industrial Activity to representatives of proposed new development and redevelopment? (S5.C.4.e)				
74	All staff responsible for implementing the program to control	N		See Comment for Question 40.	
1 .	stormwater runoff from new development, redevelopment, and			See Common to Question 101	
	construction sites, including permitting, plan review, construction site				
	inspections, and enforcement were trained to conduct these activities?				
	(Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.f)				
74b.	Number of trainings provided:		1		
74c.	Number of staff trained:		4		
75	Developed and implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M)	Υ			
	program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of				
	preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations?				
	(Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5)				
76	Adopted maintenance standards as protective, or more protective, of	Υ			
	facility function as those specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the				
	2005 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington?				
77	(Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.a)  Performed timely maintenance as per S5.C.5.a.ii? (Required by	Υ			
/ /	February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.a.ii)	'			
77h	Attached documentation of any maintenance delays. (Required by	NA			
770.	February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.a.ii)	1471			
78	Designed a program to annually inspect and maintained all stormwater	Υ		Citation should be S5.C.5.b. per e-mail from Anne Dettlebach, Dept. of Ecology, dated	
	treatment and flow control facilities (other than catch basins)?			02/15/2011.	
	(Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii)				
78b.	Number of known facilities:		416		
78c.	Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:		111		
79	If using reduced inspection frequency, <b>Attached</b> documentation as per	NA			
<u> </u>	S5.C.5.a.ii? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.b)				
80	Conducted spot checks of stormwater facilities after major storms?				
001	(Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.c)		416		
80b.	Number of known facilities:		416		
80c.	Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:  Inspected municipally owned or operated catch basins at least once	Y	111	Day a mail from Anna Dattlahagh Dant of Egglessy dated 02/15/2011 this requirement	
81	before the end of the Permit term? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010,	ſ		Per e-mail from Anne Dettlebach, Dept. of Ecology, dated 02/15/2011, this requirement was supposed to begin by 02/16/2010. In Edmonds, our catch basin inspection and cleaning program	
	S5.C.5.d)			began prior to 02/16/2010	
81b.	Number of known catch basins:		7,904	200 m. p. 10. 2010	
81c.	Number of inspections:		4,107		
81d.	Number of catch basins cleaned:		4,107		
82	Established and implemented practices to reduce stormwater impacts	Υ			
	associated with runoff from streets, parking lots, roads or highways				
	owned or maintained by the Permittee, and road maintenance activities				
	conducted by the Permittee? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.f)				

Que	stion	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
83	Established and implemented policies and procedures to reduce pollutants in discharges from all lands owned or maintained by the Permittee and subject to this Permit, including but not limited to: parks, open space, road right-of-way, maintenance yards, and stormwater treatment and flow control facilities? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.g)	Y			
84	Implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M) program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.h.)	Y	1		
84b. 84c.	Number of trainings provided: Number of staff trained:		46		
85	Implemented a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for all heavy equipment maintenance or storage yards, and material storage facilities owned or operated by the Permittee in areas subject to this Permit that are not required to have coverage under the Industrial Stormwater General Permit? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.i)	Y	70		
86	Is there an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) applicable to stormwater discharges from a MS4s owned or operated by the Permittee?	N			
87	Complied with the specific requirements identified in Appendix 2? (S7.A)	NA			
88	Attached status report of TMDL implementation? (S7.A)	NA			
89	Where monitoring was required in Appendix 2, did you conduct the monitoring according to an approved Quality Assurance Project Plan? (S7.A)	NA			
90	Took appropriate action to correct or minimize discharges into or from the MS4 which may constitute a threat to human health, welfare, or the environment? (G3)	NA			
90b.	Attached a summary of the status of implementation of any actions taken pursuant to S4.F and the status of any montioring, assessment, or evaluation efforts conducted during the reporting period? (S4.F.3.d)	NA			
91	Notified Ecology of the failure to comply with the permit terms and conditions within 30 days of becoming aware of the non-compliance? (G20)	Υ		A G20 letter was sent to Ecology dated 1/11/2010 indicating that Edmonds would not meet the 2/16/2010 deadline for adopting an ordinance that addresses all the requirements in S5.C.4. An ordinance with an updated code meeting the all requirements in S5.C.4. was passed on 4/20/2010, effective 6/1/2010.	
92	Notified Ecology immediately in cases where the Permittee becomes aware of a discharge from the Permittees MS4 which may cause or contribute to an imminent threat to human health or the environment? (G3)	NA			
93	<b>Attached</b> a summary of identified barriers to the use of low impact development (LID) and measures to address the barriers (Required to be submitted by March 31, 2011, S9.E.4.a)				
94	Attached a report describing LID practices currently available and that can be reasonably implemented, potential or planned non-structural actions and LID techniques to prevent stormwater impacts, goals and metrics to identify, promote, measure LID; and schedules to require and implement non-structureal and LID techniques on a broader scale (Required to be submitted by March 31, 2011, S9.E.4.b)	Υ			

Question	Y/N/	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment &
	NA			Page #, if applicable

REMINDER: Save your work as you go. Did you answer each question, provide necessary background information in the # and/or Comments field, and note the filename and page number of all required documentation in the Attachment field? Proceed to the Info Collection (Section VII-A) tab next.Q

Complete Part A for all annual reports.

NOTE: Please note in Row 1 of the table if you have no information to report.

NOTE: Please limit your entries to 255 characters per cell. You may include additional information in your Supplemental Documentation attachment and reference it below with the page number.

#### A. Information Collection

Briefly describe any stormwater monitoring, studies, or type of information collected and analyzed during the reporting period. (S8.B.1)	Who/how to contact for additional information?
1. NA	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	

Complete Part B for all annual reports.

#### B. SWMP Evaluation (S8.B & S9)

You are required to assess the appropriateness of the BMPs you have selected to implement your SWMP. This evaluation is necessary to evaluate whether the MEP standard set by the permit is protective of water quality in your receiving water bodies. This assessment may be entirely qualitative. Answer **NA** if you are not yet implementing BMPs for a component of the SWMP. (S8.B.2 and S9)

Que	estion	Y/N/NA	Comments (50 word limit)
1.	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Public Outreach appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?	NA	Given the short time period since implementing this requirement, the City has not had time to evaluate the effectiveness of the individual BMPs. No changes to report.
2.	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Public Involvement appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?	NA	See Comment for Question 1
3.	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?	NA	See Comment for Question 1
4.	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?	NA	See Comment for Question 1
5.	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Post- Construction Runoff Management appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?	NA	See Comment for Question 1
6.	Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?	NA	See Comment for Question 1

Complete Part C for all annual reports.

#### C. Changes in BMPs or objectives (S8.B)

If any of the BMPs or objectives is being changed, list the old BMP and objective, the new BMP and objective, and a justification for the change below. (S8.B.2., and S9)

NOTE: You may choose to attach additional documentation justifying Changes in BMPs or objectives. Note such attachments in the *Justification for change* field.

Old BMP	Old Objective	New BMP	New Objective	Justification for Change
1 NA				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				

# D. Preparation for future, long-term monitoring

Complete section D for the fourth annual report only.

Question		Y/N/NA	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment? Page Number?
1.	Identified outfalls or conveyances for long-term stormwater monitoring? (S8.C.2.a)	Y		Attachment 2, Page 17
1b.	Attach site maps and descriptions. (S8.C.2.a)	У		Attachment 2, Page 17
2.	Identified at least two questions for SWMP effectiveness monitoring and developed monitoring plans? (S8.C.2.b)	Υ		Attachment 2, Page 17
2b.	Attach the proposed questions and monitoring plans for SWMP effectiveness monitoring. (S8.C.2.a.ii)	У		Attachment 2, Page 17
3.	Monitoring plan developed for each question? (S8.C.1.b.iii)	Υ		Attachment 2, Page 17
3b.	Attach a copy of the monitoring plan.	У		Attachment 2, Page 17
4.	Identified sites in preparation for future, long-term monitoring? (S8.C.1.a., and S8.C.2.b)	Υ		Attachment 2, Page 17
4b.	Attach a summary of the status of site identification for long-term stormwater monitoring; proposed questions for SWMP effectiveness monitoring; and status of developing the SWMP effectiveness monitoring plans.	У		Attachment 2, Page 17